

Homework 2

Page 111 #1 – Prove that for the functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the ε - δ definition of continuity implies the open set definition.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $Y = \mathbb{R}$. We must show that the ε - δ definition of continuity implies that $U = f^{-1}(V) \subseteq X$ is open in X if V is open in Y . The ε - δ definition of continuity states that if f is continuous, $\forall p \in X$ and $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ \ni if $x \in (p - \delta, p + \delta)$, then $f(x) \in (f(p) - \varepsilon, f(p) + \varepsilon)$. Let V be open in Y and let $p \in f^{-1}(V)$. We need to show that p is an interior point of $f^{-1}(V)$. Let $y = f(p)$. Because V is open, $\exists B_Y(y, \varepsilon) \subseteq V$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f is continuous at p , $\exists \delta > 0$ $\ni f(B_X(p, \delta)) \subseteq B_Y(y, \varepsilon)$. Hence,

$$B_X(p, \delta) \subseteq f^{-1}[f(B_X(p, \delta))] \subseteq f^{-1}(B_Y(y, \varepsilon)) \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$$

and p is an interior point of $f^{-1}(V)$.

Page 111 #2 – Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous. If x is a limit point of the subset A of X , is it necessarily true that $f(x)$ is a limit point of $f(A)$?

In general yes, by Theorem 21.3 which states Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$. If the function is continuous, then for every convergent sequence $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X , the sequence $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$. However, there is one exception and that is when $f(x)$ is a constant. In this case, the set Y only consists of one point and hence cannot have a limit point.

Page 111 #5 – Show that the subspace (a,b) of \mathbb{R} is homeomorphic with $(0,1)$ and the subspace $[a,b]$ of \mathbb{R} is homeomorphic with $[0,1]$.

We need to find a homeomorphism $f : (a,b) \rightarrow (0,1)$ and $g : [a,b] \rightarrow [0,1]$. Let $a < x < b$ and $0 < y = f(x) < 1$ and the map $f : (a,b) \rightarrow (0,1)$ be

$$y = f(x) = \frac{x-a}{b-a}$$

This map is one-to-one, continuous, and has inverse $f^{-1}(y) = a + (b-a)y = x$ and hence a homeomorphism. $\therefore (a,b)$ is homeomorphic to $(0,1)$. Use the same map for g as a homeomorphism from $[a,b]$ to $[0,1]$.

Page 126 # 9 – Show that the Euclidean metric d on \mathbb{R}^n is a metric, as follows: If $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} &= (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n), \\ c\mathbf{x} &= (cx_1, \dots, cx_n), \\ \mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y} &= (x_1y_1 + \dots + x_ny_n).\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Show that $\mathbf{x} \bullet (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}) = (\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y}) + (\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{z})$.
 (b) Show that $|\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y}| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\|$.
 (c) Show that $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|$.
 (d) Verify that d is a metric.

(a)
$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x} \bullet (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}) &= (x_1, \dots, x_n) \bullet [(y_1, \dots, y_n) + (z_1, \dots, z_n)] \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_n) \bullet (y_1 + z_1, \dots, y_n + z_n) \\ &= (x_1(y_1 + z_1) + \dots + x_n(y_n + z_n)) \\ &= (x_1y_1 + x_1z_1 + \dots + x_ny_n + x_nz_n) \\ &= (x_1y_1 + \dots + x_ny_n) + (x_1z_1 + \dots + x_nz_n) \\ &= [(x_1, \dots, x_n) \bullet (y_1, \dots, y_n)] + [(x_1, \dots, x_n) \bullet (z_1, \dots, z_n)] \\ &= (\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y}) + (\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{z})\end{aligned}$$

(b) The statement is clearly valid if $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. If $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, let

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} - \frac{\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \mathbf{x}$$

Then $\mathbf{z} \bullet \mathbf{x} = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}0 \leq \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 &= \mathbf{z} \bullet \mathbf{z} = \left(\mathbf{y} - \frac{\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \mathbf{x} \right) \bullet \left(\mathbf{y} - \frac{\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \mathbf{x} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{y} \bullet \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y} \bullet \frac{\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \mathbf{x} - \frac{\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y} + \frac{\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \mathbf{x} \bullet \frac{\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \mathbf{x} \\ &= \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - 2 \frac{(\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y})^2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} + \frac{(\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y})^2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^4} \mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{x} \\ &= \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - 2 \frac{(\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y})^2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} + \frac{(\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y})^2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^4} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 - 2 \frac{(\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y})^2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} + \frac{(\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y})^2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} \\ 0 \leq \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 &- \frac{(\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y})^2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y})^2 &\leq \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \text{ and} \\ |\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y}| &\leq \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\|.\end{aligned}$$

$$(c) \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 = [(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \bullet (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})] = [\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y} \bullet \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \bullet \mathbf{y}]$$

$$= [\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{x} + 2 \mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y} \bullet \mathbf{y}] \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2\|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = [\|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|]^2 \text{ using part b.}$$

Hence, $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|$ since both sides are positive

(d) To show that the Euclidean norm is a metric, we have to show that if $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$

(i) $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \geq 0$ with $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0$ only when $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$

(ii) $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$

(iii) $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \geq d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$

(i) by definition $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \geq 0$ (it is the positive square root and if $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$ then at least on pair x_i and y_i are distinct and their difference squared is positive)

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{0}\| = \|\mathbf{0}\| = (0^2 + \dots + 0^2)^{1/2} = 0$$

(ii) $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| = \|(x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_n - y_n)\| = [(x_1 - y_1)^2, \dots, (x_n - y_n)^2]^{1/2}$
 $= [(y_1 - x_1)^2, \dots, (y_n - x_n)^2]^{1/2} = \|(y_1 - x_1, \dots, y_n - x_n)\| = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| = d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$

(iii) $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| + \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\| \geq \|(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) + (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z})\|$ by part c

$$= \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\| = \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|$$

$$\text{Hence, } \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| + \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}\| \geq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\| \text{ and } d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \geq d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$$

Page 135 # 11d – Given a sequence of functions $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let

$$s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)$$

Prove the Weierstrass M-test for uniform convergence: If $|f_i(x)| \leq M_i$ for all $x \in X$ and all i , and if the series $\sum M_i$ converges, then the sequence (s_n) converges uniformly to a function s .

Assume that $|f_i(x)| \leq M_i$ for all $x \in X$ and all i and that the series $\sum M_i$ converges,

Let $r_n = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} M_i$ using the hypothesis. Part (c) guarantees that the series

$$s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \text{ converges, that is, } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ then } s_n(x) \rightarrow s(x).$$

Consider the case when $k > n$. Then

$$s_k(x) - s_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)$$

$$s_k(x) - s_n(x) = f_{n+1}(x) + \dots + f_k(x)$$

$$|s_k(x) - s_n(x)| \leq |f_{n+1}(x)| + \dots + |f_k(x)|$$

$$|s_k(x) - s_n(x)| \leq M_{n+1} + \dots + M_k$$

$$\begin{aligned} |s_k(x) - s_n(x)| &\leq M_{n+1} + \dots \\ |s_k(x) - s_n(x)| &\leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} M_i = r_n \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |s_k(x) - s_n(x)| &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} M_i = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_n \\ |s(x) - s_n(x)| &\leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} M_i = r_n \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|s(x) - s_n(x)| \leq r_n$$